

SECTION 1 – MAJOR APPLICATIONS

LIST NO:	1/01	APPLICATION NO:	P/2843/08/DC3
LOCATION:	1-16 Challiner Court; 1-12 Fern Court; 1-12 Price Court; 1-12 Hines Court, Richards Close, Harrow		
APPLICANT:	Octavia Housing & Care		
PROPOSAL:	Demolition Of 55 Dwellings And Construction Of 47 Unit 'Extra Care' Scheme And 29 Flats, Landscaping And Works		
DECISION:	GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the addendum, subject to the conditions and informatives reported and a Unilateral Undertaking.		
	[Note: The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous].		

SECTION 2-OTHER APPLICATIONS RECOMMENDED FOR GRANT

LIST NO:	2/01	APPLICATION NO:	P/3206/08/DC3
LOCATION:	The Princess Alexandra Home, 40 Common Road, Stanmore		
APPLICANT:	Jewish Care		
PROPOSAL:	Outline: Replacement Nursing & Care Home With Associated Day Centre		
DECISION:	GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the addendum, subject to: the conditions and informatives reported, completion of a legal agreement, the direction of the Mayor of London and the Government Office for London.		
	[Note: The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous].		

LIST NO:	2/02	APPLICATION NO:	P/3316/08/RH
LOCATION:	3A Neptune Road, Harrow		
APPLICANT:	Premier Wholesale Ltd		
PROPOSAL:	Retention Of Change Of Use From Class B2 (General Industry) To Storage Ancillary To Retail Club		
DECISION:	GRANTED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the addendum, subject to the conditions and informatives reported.		
	[Note: The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to grant the application was unanimous].		

LIST NO:	2/03	APPLICATION NO:	P/3809/07/CFU/NR
LOCATION:	24-28 Church Road, Stanmore		
APPLICANT:	MR Partnership for R & C Pankhania		
PROPOSAL:	Third Floor Extension To Provide Offices (Class B1), Change Of Use Of No.24 From Retail To Restaurant/Cafe (Class A1 To A3), Extract Duct At Rear		

DECISION: REFUSED permission for the development described in the application and submitted plans, as amended on the addendum, for the following reason:

The third floor extension to the roof is of poor design and would appear to be visually obtrusive, incongruous and out of character in the street scene by reason of the materials and overall design not being in keeping with other similar extensions which take the form of a mansard construction with lead cladding, contrary to HUDP policy D4.

[Notes: (1) The Committee wished it to be recorded that the decision to refuse the application was unanimous.

(2) The Head of Planning had recommended that the above application be granted].
